

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8  
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 UNIVERSAL TRADING & INVESTMENT  
11 CO.,

No. C-99-3073 MMC

12 Plaintiff

**ORDER VACATING HEARING ON  
(1) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE  
PLEADINGS AND ENTER DEFAULT  
AGAINST DUGSBERY, INC. AND  
(2) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT AGAINST  
DUGSBERY, INC.**

13 v.  
14 PETRO MIKOLAYEVICH KIRITCHENKO,  
et al.,

15 Defendants.

(Docket No. 883, 884)

16 \_\_\_\_\_ /  
17  
18 Before the Court is plaintiff Universal Trading & Investment Co.'s ("UTI") motion to  
19 strike pleadings and enter default against Dugsbery, Inc. ("Dugsbery"), as well as UTI's  
20 motion for summary judgment against Dugsbery, each of which motions was filed  
21 December 16, 2005 and noticed for hearing January 20, 2006. On December 30, 2005,  
22 Dugsbery filed a request for a continuance on the grounds that defendants' motion for  
23 summary judgment on the issue of UTI's standing, which is currently noticed for hearing  
24 January 27, 2006,<sup>1</sup> will likely be dispositive of UTI's motions against Dugsbery.  
25 Additionally, Dugsbery argues that the issues of tracing and forfeiture raised in UTI's  
26 summary judgment motion against Dugsbery also are pending before the Honorable Martin  
27 Jenkins in U.S. v. Lazarenko, CR-00-0284 MJJ, and will be determined by him at the  
28 \_\_\_\_\_

<sup>1</sup> The Court notes that UTI recently filed a motion to continue the January 27, 2006  
hearing on defendants' motion for summary judgment to February 10, 2006.

1 Lazarenko sentencing, which is currently scheduled for March 3, 2006.

2 The Court agrees that, as a matter of judicial economy, it should not hear the instant  
3 motions until after it rules on defendants' pending motion for summary judgment on the  
4 issue of UTI's standing. The Court does not reach Dugsbery's other arguments at this  
5 time.

6 Accordingly, the January 20, 2006 hearing on UTI's motions to strike Dugsbery's  
7 pleadings and for summary judgment against Dugsbery is hereby VACATED. The Court  
8 will set a new hearing date, if necessary, after it rules on defendants' motion for summary  
9 judgment on the issue of standing.

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11 Dated: January 9, 2006



12 

---

MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
United States District Judge

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28